

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RANDALL BERRY,)
)
Petitioner)
)
vs.) No. CIV-05-311-C
)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Respondent)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This action for habeas corpus relief brought by a prisoner, proceeding pro se, was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Doyle W. Argo, consistent with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Judge Argo entered a Report and Recommendation on April 19, 2005, to which Petitioner has timely objected. The Court therefore considers the matter de novo.

The facts and relevant law are set out in full in the accurate and well-reasoned opinion of the Magistrate Judge. No point would be served in repeating that analysis. Petitioner does not specifically dispute either the factual recitation or the legal reasoning employed by the Magistrate Judge, but rather disagrees, in a summary fashion, with the ultimate conclusions. There is nothing asserted by the petitioner which was not fully considered and correctly rejected by the Magistrate Judge, and no argument of fact or law is set forth in the objection which would require a different result.

Accordingly, the Court adopts, in its entirety, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and for the reasons announced therein, this petition for habeas corpus relief is dismissed as untimely. A judgment will enter accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 10th day of May, 2005.



ROBIN J. CAUTHRON
United States District Judge